UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,128	11/25/2003	Kenichi Takahashi	018775-885	4396
	7590 04/08/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ROBINSON, MYLES D	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,128	TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Myles D. Robinson	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 4 and 6 - 9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2, 3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The examiner has considered the references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on 11/25/2003 (see attached PTO-1449).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: reference character S36 (Fig. 13). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required

Art Unit: 2625

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held

in abeyance.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly

indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: grammatical

errors. It is suggested that "Usually, protocols for e-mail is allowed to pass the firewall"

be revised to read "Usually, protocols for e-mail are is allowed to pass the firewall" (see

page 2, line 6).

5. It is suggested that "The size limit is for example one megabytes" be revised to

read "The size limit is for example one megabyte megabytes" (see page 2, line 20).

6. It is suggested that "a rooter 16" be revised to read "a router rooter 16" (see page

6, line 24).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2625

8. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 3 recite the limitations "said divider" and "said sender." There is

insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in these claims.

9. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said sender" in line 4. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. *Claims 1, 4 and 6 – 9* are allowed.

Referring to claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 9, the innovative limitation that distinguishes

the Applicant's claim is dividing the bit streams of the plurality of pages into a plurality of

files based on resolution and then arranging those files of all the pages in combinations

based upon either their common resolution or layer level.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Kondo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,864,997) disclose a picture encoding apparatus

wherein the compression efficiency can be improved and deterioration of picture quality

can be reduced when picture data is hierarchical-encoded (see Abstract).

Shozaki *et al.* (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2004-0218210) disclose an image processing apparatus which includes common inventors and assignee as the instant application (*see Abstract*).

Knowlton (U.S. Patent No. 4,261,018) discloses progressive image transmission (see Abstract).

Bannai *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,412,486) disclose an image processing apparatus which receives first image data and then hierarchically reduces the size of the image represented by this first image data to generate second image data (*see Abstract and Figs.* 2-4).

Takahashi *et al.* (Japanese Patent No. 2004-289580) disclose an image processing apparatus which includes common inventors and assignee as the instant application (*see Abstract*).

Hara (U.S. Patent No. 5,781,175) discloses an image search apparatus for controlling the display of the plural sets of images stored in memory in reduced size on the display unit at a time wherein the control unit is able to display desired pages of the said plural sets of images in reduced sizes (*see Abstract and Figs. 5 – 8, 10 and 11*).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myles D. Robinson whose telephone number is (571)272-5944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler L. Haskins can be reached on (571) 272-7406. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2625

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Myles D. Robinson/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

3/28/08

/Twyler L. Haskins/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625